

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK-OFFICE:

CM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vagonia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | · * *** | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
| 09/972,318 | 10/05/2001 | Gaku Takeuchi | 463P078 | 4117 |
| 7 | 2590 06/19/2003 | | | |
| Kevin S. Lemack Nields & Lemack 176 E. Main Street Westboro, MA 01581 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | . SANDERS JR, JOHN R | |
| | | | | |
| · | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3737 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | - |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/972,318 | TAKEUCHI ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | John R. Sanders | 3737 |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt, ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB. | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q | <u> 05 October 2001</u> . | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is non-final. | |
| 3)□ Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims | owance except for formal matter ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D | ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application | on. | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | rawn from consideration. | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. | • | |
| 7)🛛 | Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | |
| | on Papers | · | |
| 9) 🔲 - | The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | |
| 10)🛛 🗆 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2001</u> is/a | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec | ted to by the Examiner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to | | |
| 11) 🔲 🗆 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) approved b) dis | sapproved by the Examiner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | |
| 12) 🔲 🛚 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13)⊠ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received in Ap | plication No |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Eee the attached detailed Office action for a life. | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome: | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) 15)∐ A | ☐ The translation of the foreign language pcknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | provisional application has bee | en received. |
| Attachment | | _ | |
| 2) D Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inf | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev | | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 2 |

Application/Control Number: 09/972,318

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 10 October 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the JP 309484/2000 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Inventorship

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: In the preambles to claims 2-5, "An" should be changed to --The--. In claim 2, line 3, "to enable to change an aperture" is grammatically incorrect. Consider revising to --enable a change in an aperture--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,318

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,579,063 to Magnante et al.
- 6. Magnante et al. discloses an eye characteristic measuring system (FIG.1) comprising a changeable aperture diaphragm (col. 4: 42-51) arranged at a position conjugate to the pupil (6) of an eye; a projection optical system (1, 2, 7) for projecting an index image via the aperture diaphragm onto the fundus (8); a photodetection optical system (11, 12, 13) for receiving a secondary index image reflected from the fundus via the aperture diaphragm (4); and a detection unit (CCD camera 14) which detects a light amount intensity distribution of the secondary index image (col. 8: 28-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Magnante et al.* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,234,978 to *Mihashi et al.*

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/972,318

Art Unit: 3737

9. *Magnante et al.* discloses the aperture diaphragm as described above, but does not disclose expressly the aperture designed such that a position of the aperture can be changed.

Mihashi et al. discloses an optical characteristic measuring apparatus (FIG. 1A) with a variable aperture diaphragm (202) that is designed to be shifted laterally and longitudinally in order to dispose different sub-diaphragms (202a, 202b) onto the optical axis (col. 3: 33-42) or to obtain information about different locations on the eye (col. 10: 45-64). Mihashi et al. also discloses sensing the light amount intensity distribution (col. 9: 27-34)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of *Magnante et al.* to include an aperture designed so that the position of the aperture can be changed, as in *Mihashi et al.*

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: *Mihashi et al.* discloses a plurality of apertures disposed in the optical path of the apparatus, but does not disclose said apertures to be means for dividing the reflected light beam into a plurality of regions. Wavefront sensing apparatuses, now common in the art, may include plates with multiple aperture/lens combinations in order to subdivide the light beam into regions (see *Liang et al. '221*, FIG.5). However, the prior art does not appear to cover an aperture diaphragm, comprising a plurality of aperture plates designed to divide a light beam into a plurality of

Art Unit: 3737

regions, disposed such that both the light incident to the pupil and the light reflected from the

fundus pass through the plurality of aperture plates.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 12.

disclosure. Liang et al. '221, Matsumoto '049 and Aizu et al. '107 disclose optical characteristic

measurement apparatuses including aperture diaphragms. Kusaka '321 discloses a plurality of

apertures and photodetectors for detecting light distribution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John R. Sanders whose telephone number is (703) 305-4974.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marvin M. Lateef can be reached on (703) 308-3256. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular

communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

June 13, 2003

Maryin M. Lateef Supervisory Patent Examiner Page 5

Group 3700